New Furanosesquiterpenes from Ligularia Fischeri Turcz. 1 1β , 10β Epoxyfuranoeremophilan- 6β -ol and Its Derivative

Toshichika Sato, Yoshihiko Moriyama, Hajime Nagano, Yoshiaki Tanahashi, and Takeyoshi Takahashi Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, The University of Tokyo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113 (Received September 17, 1974)

Two new furanosesquiterpenes, isolated from Ligularia Fischeri Turcz. (collected at Shiramine), were shown to be 1β , 10β -epoxyfuranoeremophilan- 6β -ol (1) and 1β , 10β -epoxyfuranoeremophilan- 6β -yl 2-hydroxymethylprop-2-enoate (2).

It has been reported by Ishii et al. that Ligularia Fischeri Turcz. (collected at Kurama) contained several sesquiterpenes, namely, ligularol, ligularone, furanoeremophilane, liguloxide, liguloxidol, liguloxidol acetate. During chemical investigation on the genus Ligularia (Compositae) and related plants, two new furanosesquiterpenes were isolated from Ligularia Fischeri Turcz. (collected at Shiramine). We wish to describe the structure determination leading to 1β , 10β -epoxyfuranoeremophilan- 6β -ol (1) and 1β , 10β -epoxyfuranoeremophilan- 6β -yl 2-hydroxymethylprop-2-enoate (2) for these sesquiterpenes.

An ether extract of the roots of the plant was subjected to separation by column and preparative thin layer chromatography to give 1 and 2. Compound 1, a colorless oil, was positive to the Ehrlich test. A molecular ion peak at m/e 248 ($C_{15}H_{20}O_3$) was observed in the mass spectrum. The IR, UV, and PMR spectra showed the presence of a secondary methyl, a tertiary methyl, a β -methyl substituted furan moiety with an α -proton, a proton on an oxygen-bearing carbon, an allylic methylene linked to a carbon with no proton, an allylic proton on a hydroxyl-bearing carbon, and a hydroxyl group (cf. Table and Experimental).

These spectral data observed for 1 are closely related to those of furanoeremophilane- 6β , 10β -diol (3), 7,8) recently isolated from L. japonica Less. Treatment of 1 in tetrahydrofuran with lithium aluminium hydride under reflux yielded a diol, which was found to be identical with 3. This showed the presence in 1 of a furanoeremophilane skeleton (4); a hydroxyl group of 1 must be placed on C- 6β . The presence of homoallylic coupling (J=2 Hz) between a proton at C- 6α and one of the allylic methylene protons (proton at C- 9α) was shown by PMDR experiments. Therefore the proton at C- 6α was inferred to be in a quasi-axial conformation.

A nature of two of the three oxygen atoms involved in 1 was thus characterized. The IR spectrum of 1 showed no carbonyl absorption. In the PMR spectrum of 1, the signal due to a proton on an oxygen-bearing carbon (C-1) appeared at δ 3.06, while the corresponding signal was absent in the spectrum of 3. The conversion of 1 to 3, as well as the spectral data of 1 mentioned above, suggested that the third oxygen of 1 was involved as an epoxy ring and located on C-1 β and C-10 β as shown in 1.

These observations received support from induced paramagnetic shift experiments⁹⁾ on 1 (Table), coupled

with PMDR technique. The shift reagent, Eu(dpm)₃, associated more strongly with a hydroxyl rather than an ether group.¹⁰⁾ Signals due to protons at C-4 and C-15 shifted very largely. A small shift was observed for signals due to protons at C-14. Therefore, the epoxy ring was shown to be in a β -configuration; an 1α , 10α -epoxide structure must cause a large shift for signals due to C-14 protons. As the absolute stereochemistry of the diol was determined as shown in 3,80 the structure including absolute configuration of 1 should be represented by 1β , 10β -epoxyfuranoeremophilan- 6β -ol (1). The results summarized in Table are best interpreted on the basis of a stereostructure (1'), in which rings A and B adopt a half-chair and a half-boat conformation respectively.

The IR and UV spectra of 2 showed the presence of α,β -unsaturated ester group (cf. Experimental). A molecular ion peak at m/e 332 ($C_{19}H_{24}O_5$) was observed in the mass spectrum of 2. The ester (2) was reduced with lithium aluminium hydride in ether to give 1. Treatment of 2 with methanolic potassium hydroxide at room temperature afforded 1. An acyl residue present in 2 was therefore inferred to be $[C_3H_5O]$ -CO-. In the PMR spectrum of 2, there appeared signals due to protons of a terminal methylene and a hydroxymethyl group (cf. Experimental), other than those due to the structure moiety of 1. Hydrogenation of 2 in ethanol in the presence of palladium-charcoal yielded a dihydro derivative (5). In the PMR spectrum of 5, a methyl signal at δ 1.26 and a methine proton signal at δ 2.77 newly appeared, while

TABLE. PMR SPECTRAL DATA OF 1

	1α- H	2α - Η	2β-Н	3α-Н	3β-Н	4α-Η	6α-Η	9α-Η	9β-Н	12 - H	13 - H	14-H	15 - H	OH
$\delta_{ ext{CDCl}_3}{}^{ ext{a})}$	3.06 (br. s)	b)	b)	b)	b)	1.5 (m)	4.86 (br. s)	3.22 ^{c)} (d)	2.11 (d)	7.07 (q)	2.09 (d)	1.11 (d)	1.14 (s)	1.9 ^{d)} (s)
$\delta_{ ext{Eu (dpm)}_{f 3}}^{ ext{e)}}$	11.45 (ABX) -8.4	5.0 (m)	6.8 (m)	5.9 (m)	2.6 (m)	16.3 (m) -14.8	f)	10.73 (d) -7.5	9.08 (d)	10.17 (br. s)	7.62 (d) -5.5	5.55 (d) -4.4 -	12.88 (s) -11.7	
Coupling constants ^{a)} :		$J_{1\alpha,2\alpha} = 5$ $J_{2\beta,3\alpha} = 15$ $J_{4\alpha,14} = 7$		$J_{1lpha,2eta}{=}{<}1 \ J_{2eta,3eta}{=}\ 5 \ J_{9lpha,9eta}{=}\ 16$		5	$J_{2\alpha,2\beta} = 15$ $J_{3\alpha,3\beta} = 15$ $J_{12,13} = 1.5$		$J_{2lpha,3lpha}{=}7 \ J_{3lpha,4lpha}{=}5 \ J_{6lpha,9lpha}{=}2$		$J_{2\alpha,3\beta} = 1.5$ $J_{3\beta,4\alpha} = 1.5$			

- a) Chemical shifts are expressed in downfield from TMS and coupling constants in Hz. b) Unresolved signals. c) With further splitting due to homoallylic coupling $J_{\theta\alpha,9\alpha}$. d) Disappeared on addition of D_2O . e) 0.97 M
- c) With further splitting due to homoallylic coupling $J_{6a,9a}$. d) Disappeared on addition of D_2O . e) 0.97 M equivalent of Eu(dpm)₃ was added to the 2% (w/w) solution of **1**. f) Not measured. g) $\delta = \delta_{CDCl_3} \delta_{Eu(dpm)_3}$.

These observations led to a structure 2' for the ester (2). This received support from an appearance of intense peaks at m/e 85 and m/e 57¹¹ due to fissions as shown in 2'; these peaks were absent in the mass spectrum of 1. The structure including absolute stereochemistry of the ester must be 16.106-proxyfurano-

signals due to a terminal methylene group were absent.

trum of 1. The structure including absolute stereochemistry of the ester must be 1β , 10β -epoxyfuranoeremophilan- 6β -yl 2-hydroxymethylprop-2-enoate (2). The same acyl group as that of 2 has been described to be present as a part of the structures of vernodalin¹¹⁾ and cynaropicrin.¹²⁾

Experimental

IR spectra were measured using a Hitachi EPI-G2 spectrometer. UV spectra were determined on a Hitachi EPS-3 spectrometer. Measurements of optical rotation were carried out using a JASCO DIP-SL polarimeter. Mass spectra were taken on a Hitachi RMU-6-Tokugata mass spectrometer with a direct inlet system operating at 70 eV. PMR spectra were measured using a JEOL 4H-100 (100 MHz), a JEOL 3H-60 (60 MHz), a Hitachi R-20 (60 MHz) or a Hitachi R-24 (60 MHz) spectrometer. Chemical shifts were expressed in δ downfield from TMS as an internal standard, and coupling constants in Hz. Thin layer chromatography (tlc) was carried out on Kieselgel G nach Stahl, Kieselgel GF₂₅₄ nach Stahl, and Kieselgel 60 PF₂₅₄ (E. Merck, Darmstadt). For column chromatography Wakogel C-200 (Wako Pure Chem. Ind.) was used. All mps were determined on a hot block and reported uncorrected.

Isolation. Dried and sliced roots (226 g) of Ligularia Fischeri Turcz. (collected at Shiramine, Ishikawa Prefecture) were extracted thrice with ether (total 4.51) at room temperature. Evaporation of the solvent gave a residue (7 g) which was chromatographed on a column of silica gel (100 g). The eluted fractions were examined by tlc (silica gel). After elution with light petroleum-ether (100:1; 1.91) and then light petroleum-ether (50:1; 750 ml), fractions eluted with light petroleum-ether (9:1; 600 ml) were combined. Evaporation of the solvents gave a residue, which was purified by silica gel column chromatography and preparative tlc to afford 1β , 10β -epoxyfuranoeremophilan- 6β -ol (1; 50 mg), an oil, $[\alpha]_D$ -45° (c 0.11, EtOH); UV (EtOH) λ_{max} 215.5 nm (ε 7000); IR (liquid) 3450, 1645, and 1570 cm⁻¹; PMR data are described in Table; mass spectrum m/e 248 [relative intensity 7%, M⁺ ($C_{15}H_{20}O_3$)] and 123 (100%).

Subsequent elution with light petroleum-ether (4:1; 11) gave, on removal of the solvents, a residue, which was purified by silica gel column chromatography to yield 1β , 10β -epoxy-furanoeremophilan- 6β -yl 2-hydroxymethylprop-2-enoate (2;

2.6 g), an oil, $[\alpha]_D - 9^\circ$ (c 0.84, EtOH); UV (EtOH) λ_{max} 215 nm (e 15000); IR (liquid) 3460, 1718, 1645, 1570, and 1160 cm⁻¹; PMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.05 (3H, d, J=7 Hz; protons at C-14), 1.22 (3H, s; protons at C-15), 1.79 (3H, d, J=1.5 Hz; protons at C-13), 2.15 (1H, d, J=16 Hz; proton at C-9 β), 3.10 (1H, br.s; proton at C-1 α), 3.23 (1H, dd, J=16 and J=2 Hz; proton at C-9 α), 6.46 (1H, m; proton at C-6 α), 7.09 (1H, q, J=1.5 Hz; proton at C-12), 4.38 (2H, br.s; CH₂= $\overset{1}{C}$ -CH₂OH), 5.92 (1H, dt, J=1.6 and J=1.2 Hz; CH₂= $\overset{1}{C}$ -CH₂-), 6.32 (1H, dt, J=1.6 and J=1 Hz; CH₂= $\overset{1}{C}$ -CH₂-), and 2.92 (1H, br.s; OH; disappeared on addition of D₂O); mass spectrum m/e 332 (6%, M⁺), m/e 109 (100%), m/e 85 (94%), and m/e 57 (50%). Found: C, 68.62; H, 7.43%. Calcd for C₁₉H₂₄O₅: C, 68.65; H, 7.28%. The ester (2) was unstable on exposure to the air, and could be stored under a nitrogen atmosphere in an icebox.

Reduction of 2 in Ether with Lithium Aluminium Hydride. To a solution of 2 (143 mg) in dry ether (10 ml) was added lithium aluminium hydride (50 mg) and the mixture was stirred at room temperature under a nitrogen atmosphere. After completion of the reaction, the excess reagent was decomposed with water. Extraction with ether and evaporation of the solvent gave a residue, which was chromatographed on a column of silica gel to afford an oil (15 mg). This oil was found to be identical with 1 in all respects.

Hydrolysis of 2. To a solution of potassium hydroxide (0.2 g) in methanol (4 ml) was added 2 (141 mg) and the mixture was stirred for 12 hr under a nitrogen atmosphere at room temperature. After removal of the solvent, the residue was extracted with ether to give 1 (86 mg).

Reduction of 1 in Tetrahydrofuran with Lithium Aluminium Hydride. Compound 1 (132 mg) in dry tetrahydrofuran (6 ml) was refluxed with lithium aluminium hydride (50 mg) for 2.5 hr under a nitrogen atmosphere. The mixture was treated as usual and the resulting residue was chromatographed on a column of silica gel (12 g) using light petroleumether (7:1) as eluent to give a crystalline product (45 mg), mp 123 °C; $[\alpha]_D$ +37° (ϵ 0.23, EtOH); Found: C, 72.23; H, 9.07%. Calcd for $C_{15}H_{22}O_3$: C, 71.97; H, 8.86%. This compound was found to be identical with an authentic sample of furanoeremophilane-6 β ,10 β -diol (3)7,8) in respects of mp, mixed mp, $[\alpha]_D$, IR, PMR, mass spectrum, and tlc.

Hydrogenation of 2 Over Palladium-charcoal. A solution of 2 (42 mg) in ethanol (10 ml) was hydrogenated in the presence of 10% palladium-charcoal (8 mg) at room temperature. Filtration of the catalyst and evaporation of the solvent gave a residue, which was purified by preparative tlc to afford 1β , 10β -epoxyfuranoeremophilan- 6β -yl 2-hydroxymethylpropanoate (5; 8 mg), an oil, IR (liquid) 3440, 1730, 1640, and $1565 \, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; PMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.09 (3H, d, $J=7 \, \mathrm{Hz}$;

protons at C-14), 1.22 (3H, s; protons at C-15), 1.87 (3H, d, J=1.5 Hz; protons at C-13), 2.16 (1H, d, J=16 Hz; proton at C-9 β), 3.10 (1H, br.s; proton at C-1 α), 3.27 (1H, d, J=16 Hz; proton at C-9 α), 6.43 (1H, m; proton at C-6 α), 7.08 (1H, q, J=1.5 Hz; proton at C-12), 1.26 (3H, d, J=7 Hz; -CO-CH-CH₃), 2.77 (1H, m; -CO-CH(CH₂OH)-CH₃), 3.78 (2H, m; -CO-CH-CH₂OH), and 1.6 (1H, br.s; OH; disappeared on addition of D₂O); mass spectrum m/e 334 [1%, M^+ (C₁₉H₂₆O₅)], m/e 87 (20%, [CO-CH(CH₂OH)-CH₃]+), m/e 59 (25%, [HOCH₂-CH-CH₃]+), and m/e 55 (100%).

References

- 1) A preliminary account of this paper: Y. Moriyama, T. Sato, H. Nagano, Y. Tanahashi, and T. Takahashi, Chem. Lett., 1972, 637.
- 2) H. Ishii, T. Tozyo, and H. Minato, *Tetrahedron*, 21, 2605 (1965).
- 3) H. Ishii, T. Tozyo, and H. Minato, J. Chem. Soc., C, 1966, 1545.
- 4) H. Ishii, T. Tozyo, and H. Minato, *Chem. Commun.*, 1968, 1534; H. Ishii, T. Tozyo, M. Nakamura, and H. Minato, *Tetrahedron*, 26, 2911 (1970), and references therein.
- 5) F. Patil, G. Ourisson, Y. Tanahashi, M. Wada, and T. Takahashi, Bull. Soc. Chim. France, 1968, 1047; T. Murae, Y. Tanahashi, and T. Takahashi, Tetrahedron, 24, 2177 (1968); Y. Ishizaki, Y. Tanahashi, T. Takahashi, and K. Tori, Chem. Commun., 1969, 551; Y. Tanahashi, T. Takahashi, and H. Koyama, Bull. Nat. Sci. Mus. Tokyo, 12, 633 (1969); Y. Ishizaki, Y. Tanahashi, T. Takahashi, and K. Tori,

- Tetrahedron, 26, 5387 (1970); M. Tada, Y. Moriyama, Y. Tanahashi, T. Takahashi, M. Fukuyama, and K. Sato, Tetrahedron Lett., 1971, 4007; H. Nagano, Y. Moriyama, Y. Tanahashi, T. Takahashi, M. Fukuyama, and K. Sato, Chem. Lett., 1972, 13; H. Nagano and T. Takahashi, This Bulletin, 45, 1935 (1972); H. Nagano, Y. Tanahashi, Y. Moriyama, and T. Takahashi, ibid., 46, 2840 (1973); Y. Moriyama, T. Tsuyuki, T. Takahashi, and H. Koyama, Phytochemistry, 13, 288 (1974).
- 6) Koyama has described the presence of two types of Ligularia Fischeri Turcz. in Japan [H. Koyama, Acta Phytotax. Geobot., 22, 75 (1966)]. The tlc and glc data for constituents of our plant were very similar to those of L. sibirica Cass., collected at Prague by one of the authors, but different from those of L. Fischeri Turcz., collected at Karuizawa (lateflowering species), which contained ligularone etc. We are thankful to Dr. H. Koyama, National Science Museum, Tokyo, for recognition of our plant as L. Fischeri Turcz. (collected at Shiramine) from plant taxonomical point of view.
- 7) M. Tada, Y. Moriyama, Y. Tanahashi, T. Takahashi, M. Fukuyama, and K. Sato, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **1971**, 4007.
- 8) M. Tada, Y. Tanahashi, Y. Moriyama, and T. Takahashi, ibid., 1972, 5255.
- 9) C. C. Hinckley, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 91, 5160 (1969).
 10) J. K. M. Sanders and D. H. Williams, ibid., 93, 641 (1971).
- 11) S. M. Kupchan, R. J. Hemingway, A. Karim, and D. Werner, *J. Org. Chem.*, **34**, 3908 (1969).
- 12) Z. Samek, M. Holub, B. Drozdz, G. Iommi, A. Corbella, and P. Gariboldi, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1971, 4775.